

# Education – evidence from the European Survey of Enterprises on New and Emerging Risks (ESENER)

## Executive Summary

Authors: Andrew Howard, Radoslaw Antczak (Oxford Research), Karen Albertsen (Team Arbejdsliv)

Project management: Ioannis Anyfantis, Xabier Irastorza (EU-OSHA).

This summaryt was commissioned by the European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA). Its contents, including any opinions and/or conclusions expressed, are those of the authors alone and do not necessarily reflect the views of EU-OSHA.

More information on the European Union is available on the Internet (<http://europa.eu>).

**Europe Direct is a service to help you find answers  
to your questions about the European Union**

**Freephone number (\*):**

**00 800 6 7 8 9 10 11**

(\*) Certain mobile telephone operators do not allow access to 00 800 numbers, or these calls may be billed.

© European Agency for Safety and Health at Work, 2022

Reproduction is authorised provided the source is acknowledged.

## Table of Contents

|                                |          |
|--------------------------------|----------|
| <b>Executive Summary .....</b> | <b>3</b> |
| Introduction.....              | 3        |
| Key findings.....              | 3        |

# Executive Summary

## Introduction

This report provides a comparative analysis of the European Survey of Enterprises on New and Emerging Risks (ESENER) findings for the education sector in several key areas of occupational safety and health (OSH) management, including psychosocial risks, drivers and barriers for OSH management, as well as the involvement of workers. In doing so, the study compared the ESENER results between 2014 and 2019 for the education sector, compared the education sector to other sectors, and assessed new topics covered by ESENER 2019 such as digitalisation and OSH, the perceived quality of external preventive services and the monitoring of sickness absences, while also considering the implications of the changing work environment since the onset of the COVID-19 pandemic.

The responses from the education sector to ESENER shed light on the extent of actions and measures adopted to secure a safe work environment. To a certain extent, these can be considered in the context of the EU Framework Directive 89/391/EEC on health and safety and supporting national or sector specific legislation that aims to encourage the introduction of measures to improve OSH.<sup>1</sup> However, the results should be considered as providing insight into the drivers and barriers of OSH management in the education sector rather than providing certainty around the level of legal compliance.

In addressing the research questions, the study used several analysis methods to identify the main OSH trends, including bivariate analysis of the ESENER results, literature review, and case study research on OSH risks and practices at different levels of the education sector in five countries. In addition, regression analyses using the ESENER dataset were used to test questions exploring the factors likely to promote good OSH management in educational establishments.

After distilling the main findings, a series of education sector-specific policy pointers were formulated for possible follow-up by Member States and relevant stakeholders.

The research findings suggest that there is need for a stronger OSH management response in the education sector. This suggestion is based on several findings that are outlined below and include issues such as identifiable gaps in the OSH management response between and within countries, the significant musculoskeletal disorders (MSDs) and psychosocial risks on the sector, the transformation of teaching due to digitalisation and the ongoing impact of COVID-19.

## Key findings

The key findings are as follows:

- Through ESENER, the education sector recognised that significant OSH risks are endemic to their working environment, especially psychosocial risks, such as having to deal with difficult pupils and parents, or time pressure, and MSD risks, including prolonged sitting, repetitive hand or arm movements, as well as other risk factors, such as loud noise and so on.
- However, the results show that there are gaps in the level of risk awareness between establishments and countries, with a major share of educational establishments unaware of the risks that are recognised by experts and leading representatives as common to the sector.
- Yet, some of the gaps in the level of risk awareness can be partly explained by differences in the severity of risks across the sector; for example, establishments may or may not have specialised teaching units that use machinery or chemicals and so on, and tertiary education is much less exposed to difficult pupils.
- The onset of the COVID-19 pandemic has intensified the level of risks, especially those associated with digitalisation and MSDs. Among other things, this includes online teaching that demands stronger efforts in ensuring that pupils are attentive and productive, adding to workplace stress. Pressure has also been put on management by parents unsatisfied with decisions around school closures and other measures.

---

<sup>1</sup> Directive 89/391/EEC - Council Directive 89/391/EEC of 12 June 1989 on the introduction of measures to encourage improvements in the safety and health of workers at work. Council of the European Union. <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=celex%3A31989L0391> <https://eur-lex.europa.eu/legal-content/EN/ALL/?uri=celex%3A31989L0391>

- Good efforts have been made to perform regular risk assessments, with 77% of establishments reporting to do so — this positions the education sector just above the EU sectoral average for this practice.
- Yet, there seems to be some gaps in the focus and scope of risk assessments. There is a greater focus on safety and chemical risks, even though establishments acknowledge that the main risks are psychosocial and MSD risks. Moreover, digital tools, home working and persons not on the payroll are not frequently covered by establishments that use these working methods. While ESENER was completed in 2019, it seems that the OSH management system in educational establishments was not well positioned for the transformation of the teaching sector under COVID-19.
- When compared to other sectors, the education sector has performed well in encouraging employee health through measures such as healthy nutrition, sport activities, back exercises and so on. Yet, use of measures to support sustainable working lives by lowering MSD-type risks are on the decline, which does not seem to align well with the main risks facing the sector.
- While more than half of establishments have procedures to support employees to return to work after long-term sickness absences, these seem to be on the decline in countries that were less likely to adopt them — again, the potential stresses and consequences of teaching under COVID-19 do not seem to be managed upfront.
- EU social partners stressed the sectoral problems of the limited supply of teachers and poor staff retention that are partly due to poor perceptions of the working environment. This suggests that there are broader strategic reasons for investing in OSH management — that is, as a way to make the sector more attractive to new and existing staff.
- Regression analyses were used to identify the factors that may ‘predict’ the introduction of good OSH management practices in establishments. In doing so, the analyses used several models to test the relationship between dependent variables (e.g. such as regular completion of risk assessments) and independent variables (e.g. such as the size of establishments). Helpfully, the models provided some clues on the approaches that may increase the likelihood of the introduction of OSH management practices in educational establishments, for example:
  - Data analysis indicate that companies regularly conducting a workplace risk assessment are usually those that have appointed OSH representatives and have undergone inspections.
  - Data analysis indicate that companies addressing digitalisation risks are usually those that have appointed OSH representatives and OSH is regularly discussed at top management level. A psychologist or occupational health doctor can assist in the identification of psychosocial risks.
  - The analysis also revealed that the inclusion of supervisor–employee relationships in risk assessments is related to the introduction of measures to manage psychosocial risks such as increasing decision authority, training on conflict resolution, confidential counselling and measures to reorganise work.

**The European Agency for Safety and Health at Work (EU-OSHA)** contributes to making Europe a safer, healthier and more productive place to work. The Agency researches, develops, and distributes reliable, balanced, and impartial safety and health information and organises pan-European awareness raising campaigns. Set up by the European Union in 1996 and based in Bilbao, Spain, the Agency brings together representatives from the European Commission, Member State governments, employers' and workers' organisations, as well as leading experts in each of the EU-27 Member States and beyond.

**European Agency for Safety and Health at Work**

Gran Vía 33, 48009 Bilbao, Spain

Tel. +34 944794360

Fax +34 944794383

E-mail: [information@osha.europa.eu](mailto:information@osha.europa.eu)

<http://osha.europa.eu>



Publications Office