Safety and health at work is everyone’s concern. It’s good for you. It’s good for business.
Inspections per sector and size of company

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sector</th>
<th>1-9 Micro</th>
<th>10-49 Small</th>
<th>50-249 Medium</th>
<th>250+ Large</th>
<th>Total</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Hairdressers &amp; barber shops</td>
<td>95</td>
<td>425</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>520</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Healthcare &amp; Social care</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>195</td>
<td>192</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>485</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Food and drinks industry</td>
<td>122</td>
<td>360</td>
<td>312</td>
<td>148</td>
<td>844</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Construction</td>
<td>144</td>
<td>155</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>413</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Courier activities</td>
<td>111</td>
<td>249</td>
<td>175</td>
<td>113</td>
<td>568</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

2621 in total
Relative distribution of the accuracy of the risk assessment MSD’s per sector

- **Courier activities**
  - Yes: 50%
  - To some extent: 30%
  - No: 20%

- **Construction**
  - Yes: 70%
  - To some extent: 20%
  - No: 10%

- **Food and drinks industry**
  - Yes: 60%
  - To some extent: 30%
  - No: 10%

- **Healthcare & Social care**
  - Yes: 80%
  - To some extent: 15%
  - No: 5%

- **Hairdressers & barber shops**
  - Yes: 90%
  - To some extent: 5%
  - No: 5%

- **Total**
  - Yes: 75%
  - To some extent: 15%
  - No: 10%
Relative distribution of the accuracy of the risk assessments MSDs

- 1-9 Micro
- 10-49 Small
- 50-249 Medium
- 250+ Large

Legend:
- Red: No
- Yellow: To some extent
- Green: Yes
Relative distribution of the participation of workers representatives per size and sector
Relative distribution of the accuracy of the risk assessments MSD in relation to the participation of the workers representatives

- RA not fit
- To some extent
- RA fit

Categories:
- No participation
- To some extent
- Participation
Relative distribution of use of evidence based risk assessments in relation to workers participation

- Evidence based methods used
- No evidence based methods used
- No risk assessment

- Workers representatives did not participate in RA
- Workers representatives participated to some extent in RA
- Workers representatives participated in RA
Relative distribution of the use of evidence based methods to the accuracy of the risk assessment

- No evidence based methods
- Evidence based methods

Risk assessment fit for purpose
Risk assessment to some extent fit
Risk assessment not fit for purpose
Use of evidence based risk assessment methods

In total 615/2621 inspections ≥1 evidence based RA-method found

- ART
- HARM
- KIM
- MAC-tool
- PTAI
- QEC
- RAMP
- RAPP
- Tilthermometer
- NIOSH
- ERGO IBV (Spanish)
- OWAS
- REBA
- RULA
- OCRA
- SNOOK-CIRIELLO
- JOB STRAIN INDEX
- AUVA
- ZVD
Measures taken and effectiveness checked per sector

- Construction: No
- Courier activities: To some extent
- Food and drinks industry: Yes
- Hairdressers & barber shops: Yes
- Healthcare & Social care: Yes
Relative distribution of the relation between taking and checking measures and the accuracy of risk assessment of MSDs

- **RA not fit for purpose**
  - No measures taken
  - To some extent
  - Measures taken and checked

- **To some extent**
  - No measures taken
  - To some extent
  - Measures taken and checked

- **RA fit for purpose**
  - No measures taken
  - To some extent
  - Measures taken and checked